UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/714,179 11/14/2003		Stefano Cervini	03-LJ-064	9391	
Lisa K. Jorgens	7590 06/19/200 on. Esa.	EXAMINER			
STMicroelectro	nics, Inc.	KAWSAR, ABDULLAH AL			
1310 Electronic Carrollton, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2195		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/19/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/714,179 CERVINI, S)
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ABDULLAH AL KAWSAR	2195	

	ABDULLAH AL KAWSAR	2195				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, whith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	Ivisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount controlled a statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	iled within two months	s of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. 🔲 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con						
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying tl	ne issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	npliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s).	_	•	_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .						
Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,9-21 and 23-28</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	before or on the date of filing a No	tice of Appeal will <u>not</u>	be entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (I 13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Meng-Ai An/						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195						

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims as proposed, now recites "data (SIMD) unit associated with a microprocessor" and "said control flow equivalence including concurrent execution of an instruction stream by said plurality of jobs". This newly added limitation would change the scope of the invention and would necessitate further consideration and search.